

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 11/03/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/781,517	02/12/2001	Carl Harold Hansen	RD-28108-3 9305		
41838	11/03/2006		EXAMINER		
	LECTRIC COMPAN	POINVIL, FRANTZY			
C/O FLETCHER YODER P. O. BOX 692289			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
HOUSTON, TX 77269-2289			3692		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
09/781,517	HANSEN ET AL.			
Examiner	Art Unit			
Frantzy Poinvil	3628			

		1 141129 1 5111111	3020					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence add	dress				
ΓΗΕ Ι	REPLY FILED <u>11 September 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE TH	IS APPLICATION IN CONDITION	N FOR ALLOWANCE.					
1. 🛛	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp	n the same day as filing a Notice owing replies: (1) an amendment, otice of Appeal (with appeal fee)	e of Appeal. To avoid a , affidavit, or other evid in compliance with 37	lence, which CFR 41.31; or				
	following time periods:	Sthe final rejection						
a)			the final rejection, whiches	ver ie later. In no				
b)	event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date	of the final rejection, whichever	ver is later. Ill to				
	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	. ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE		ED WITHIN TWO				
Extens	sions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136	S(a) and the appropriate ex	tension fee have				
peen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any								
	I patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
	CE OF APPEAL							
	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41:37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(	e)), to avoid dismissal	of the appeal.				
<u>AMEI</u>	NDMENTS							
3. 📙	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co			because				
	(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	·	,,					
	(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	• •	reducing or simplifyin	g the issues for				
	appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally	rejected claims					
	NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))		Tojoutou olalitio.					
4 □	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		Compliant Amendmen	ot (PTOL-324)				
	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		oomphant, anomanic	(				
	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a	,	ite, timely filed amendr	ment canceling				
	the non-allowable claim(s).							
	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro-		will be entered and ar	n explanation of				
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
	Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .							
	Claim(s) rejected: 1-10,13-18,24 and 25.	•						
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFI	DAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar							
	and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing	as Notice of Annual but prior to	the data of filing a brid	of will not be				
	entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under ap	peal and/or appellant f	ails to provide a				
	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation							
	JEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		<b>,</b>					
	The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the applicatio	n in condition for allow	ance because:				
12. [	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).						
	Other:	7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	_ ~ /	7				
			Hom					
			Frantzy Poinvil					
			Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3628	l				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicant's arguments are not convincing. Applicant is referred to the prior Office action.